Implicit Update for Large-Scale Inversion under GP prior (with a view toward Optimal Design)

Cedric Travelletti

February 1, 2021

Joint work with D. Ginsbourger (UniBe) and N. Linde (UniL)

Motivating Example: Stromboli Volcano

Want to learn the interior structure of the Stromboli volcano.

• Only allowed to measure gravitational field on the surface



Question

Where should I collect data to get the best possible reconstruction?

Section 1

Problem Setup

Problem Setup

- Want to recover: unknown density field $\rho: D \to \mathbb{R}$
- measurement sites $s_1, ..., s_n \in S$ on the surface
- Available data: surface gravity field $\{G_{s_i}[\rho]\}_{i=1,...,n}$.



Measurement (forward) operator

$$G_{s_i}[\rho] = \int_D \rho(x) \frac{x^{(3)} - s_i^{(3)}}{\|x - s_i\|^3} dx$$

Solve problem in a Bayesian way by putting a GP prior on subsurface density field.

- Available observations (gravity) are linear forms of the field.
- \bullet Use conditional distribution to approximate unknown field $\rho.$

Discretize inversion domain into cubic cells of fixed side length.

- \bullet discretize GP prior on m cells: $\mathfrak{D}=\{x_1,...,x_m\}$.
- Prior mean $\mu_0 = (\mu(x_i))_{i=1,\dots,m}$, covariance matrix $K_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j)$.

Posterior is gaussian with mean vector and covariance matrix

$$\tilde{\mu} = \mu_0 + KG^T \left(GKG^T + \tau^2 I \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{y} - G\mu_0 \right)$$
$$\tilde{K} = K - KG^T \left(GKG^T + \tau^2 I \right)^{-1} GK$$

Get posterior by updating mean vector and covariance matrix.

Conditioning equations involve matrices that are impossible to store for (moderately) fine-grained inversion.

Conditioning equations involve matrices that are impossible to store for (moderately) fine-grained inversion.

$$\tilde{\mu} = \mu_0 + KG^T \left(GKG^T + \tau^2 I \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{y} - G\mu_0 \right)$$
$$\tilde{K} = K - KG^T \left(GKG^T + \tau^2 I \right)^{-1} GK$$

- Covariance matrix quadratic in number of inversion cells ($\mathcal{O}(m^2)$ storage).
- Forward operator is *dense*: each datapoint influenced by **all** cells in discretization \implies No Sparsity.
- SPECIFIC TO INVERSE PROBLEMS.

Impossible to store covariance matrices for *real-world sized* problems.

Challenges and Limitations: Example on Stromboli Volcano

- Discretize subsurface domain into cubic cells with 50m side length.
- On typical inversion domain (up to -500m) this gives 170'000 cells.
- Observations of gravity field at 543 locations (typical field campaign).



Challenges and Limitations: Stromboli Memory Needs

$$\tilde{\mu} = \mu_0 + KG^T \left(GKG^T + \tau^2 I \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{y} - G\mu_0 \right)$$
$$\tilde{K} = K - KG^T \left(GKG^T + \tau^2 I \right)^{-1} GK$$



Figure: Grid and matrices size vs resolution on Stromboli example.

Compared to GP-regression, different dimensions at play.

(specific to inversion).

Cedric Travelletti (UniBe)

UQSay

Summary of Challenges for large GP-based Inversion

- Larger-than-memory covariance matrices
- Difficult to exploit sparsity when observation operators are dense (inversion-specific).
- Sequential updates impossible (cannot store intermediate covariances).

Section 2

Solving the Memory Bottleneck

Implicit Representation and Update of Covariance

Use an (almost-) matrix-free implicit representation of the posterior covariance matrix.

Implicit Representation and Update of Covariance

Use an (almost-) matrix-free implicit representation of the posterior covariance matrix.

Basic Idea

Most/all posterior covariance information can be extracted by computing products of the posterior covariance matrix with other matrices.

- Store *cooking recipe* for multiplication with posterior covariance.
- *Recipe* is much lighter than full matrix.
- Can be updated quickly if new data becomes available.

Implicit Representation and Update of Covariance

Use an (almost-) matrix-free implicit representation of the posterior covariance matrix.

Basic Idea

Most/all posterior covariance information can be extracted by computing products of the posterior covariance matrix with other matrices.

- Store *cooking recipe* for multiplication with posterior covariance.
- Recipe is much lighter than full matrix.
- Can be updated quickly if new data becomes available.

Implicit representation allows:

- Fast inclusion of new data (update of posterior).
- Transfer of computations to multiple computational units and/or GPUs (only small multiplications involved).

Observation

Posterior covariance information may be extracted via products with *tall and thin* matrices:

 $\tilde{K}A, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}, p \ll m$

Only need to maintain a multiplication routine.

Implicit Representation: Sequential Setting

Consider sequential data assimilation setting.

- Measurements $G_1, ..., G_n$.
- Covariance after inclusion of first n batches: $K^{(n)}$.
- Do not compute $K^{(n)}$, only maintain a right-multiplication routine.

 $CovMul_n : A \mapsto K^{(n)}A$

Implicit Representation: Sequential Setting

Consider sequential data assimilation setting.

- Measurements $G_1, ..., G_n$.
- Covariance after inclusion of first n batches: $K^{(n)}$.
- Do not compute $K^{(n)}$, only maintain a right-multiplication routine.

 $CovMul_n : A \mapsto K^{(n)}A$

• Update this *implicit* representation at every new data inclusion.

$$K^{(n)}A = K^{(0)}A - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{K}_{i}R_{i}^{-1}\bar{K}_{i}^{T}A$$
$$\bar{K}_{i} := K^{(i-1)}G_{i}^{T},$$
$$R_{i}^{-1} := \left(G_{i}K^{(i-1)}G_{i}^{T} + \tau^{2}I\right)^{-1}.$$

For reconstruction of full posterior covariance matrix, only need to store two matrices at each data ingestion stage:

• $m \times d_n$ matrix $K_{n-1}G_n^T$

•
$$d_n \times d_n$$
 matrix $\left(G_n K_{n-1} n G_n^T + \Delta\right)^{-1}$.

With d_n number of datapoints at stage n and Δ data noise covariance.

- Only involves right-multiplication with previous stage covariance.
- Can hence be defined recursively.
- Substantial memory saving compared to storing full covariance.



Figure: Memory footprint of posterior covariance as a function of model size.

Update Algorithms

Algorithm 1 Covariance Right Multiplication Procedure after n conditioning

Require: Precomputed matrices $K_1^{\#}, ..., K_n^{\#}$ and $R_1^{-1}, ..., R_n^{-1}$. Prior covariance right-multiplication routine $CovMul_0$. Input matrix A. **Ensure:** K_nA

```
procedure CovMul_n(A)
Compute K_0A using prior right-multiplication routine.
Return K_0A - \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_i^{\#} R_i^{-1} K_i^{\#T} A.
```

Algorithm 2 Updating intermediate quantities at conditioning step n

Require: Last step covariance right-multiplication routine $CovMul_{n-1}$. Measurement matrix G_n , measurement noise covariance Δ_n . **Ensure:** Step n intermediate matrices $K_n^{\#}$ and R_n^{-1}

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{procedure} \ CovUpdate_n\\ \text{Compute} \ K_n^{\#} = K_{n-1}G_n^T \text{ using last step right-multiplication routine.}\\ \text{Compute} \ R_n^{-1} = \left(G_nK_n^{\#} + \Delta_n\right)^{-1}. \end{array}$

By introducing an implicit representation for the covariance matrix, we are able to deal with *Larger-than-memory* covariance matrices arising in large scale Bayesian inverse problems.

In this setting, we can then:

- Extract posterior covariance information.
- Perform fast inclusion of new observations (updating).
- Distribute computations to multiple devices (GPUs, ...).

Implicit Representation allows us to tackle new questions in large inverse problems:

- Optimal Design
- Uncertainty Quantification (posterior sampling, ...)

Section 3

Optimal Design

Example: Optimal Design on Stromboli Volcano

Use posterior covariance information to guide future data acquisition.

• Observation locations selected according to pre-defined objective.



- (a) simulated surface gravity (b) reconstructed internal mass (c) residual variance density
 - New observation locations selected sequentially.
 - Locations selected based on how observation there would change covariance.
 - Requires fast access/update of posterior covariance.

Cedric Travelletti (UniBe)

Possible Objective: Excursion Set Reconstruction

Question

What if want to learn regions of high density instead of full matter distribution?

- Target is excursion set $\Gamma = \{x \in D : Z_x > t\}.$
- Observations close to excursion regions more informative.
- \implies Find criterion for how informative (about the excursion set) a given observation location s is.

(weighted) IVR criterion

$$IVR(s) := \int_{D} \left(\operatorname{Var}_{n} \left[Z_{x} \right] - \operatorname{Var}_{n} \left[Z_{x} \mid G_{(s)} \right] \right) \mathbb{P}_{n} \left[x \in \Gamma \right] dx$$

Where n data ingestion steps have already been performed and variances and excursion probabilities are computed under the current (stage n) conditional law of the field.

IVR and Implicit Update Framework

$$IVR(G) \cong \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(KG^T \left(GKG^T + \tau^2 I \right)^{-1} GK \right)_{ii}$$

- Requires multiplication with current covariance matrix K.
- In a sequential and large scale setting, K cannot be stored.
- Computation of IVR without update formulae requires inverting concatenated dataset.
- Update formulae allow to compute only contribution of new observation G.
- No need to re-invert whole dataset.
- Cost scales cubically in the size of the new observations (comparted to cubic in dataset size for direct approach).

Test Case: Stromboli

- Want fine grained reconstruction (50m resolution) of excursion set.
- High resolution \implies big grid (\sim 150k cells) \implies big cov matrix (\sim 150GB).

Optimal observation plan by myopic optimization of wIVR criterion.



Figure: True density and excursion set (generated from model).



Figure: Proposed data collection plan, wIVR long-range strategy, total budget of 90 observations.



Diagnostics: Limiting Distribution

Since implicit representation allows fast inclusion of new datapoints, can study the *limiting distribution*.

Limiting Distribution

- Posterior distribution after data collected at all accessible locations.
- Gives sense of *minimal residual uncertainty* (inherent to this type of data).





Figure: True positives vs amount of data collected.

Figure: Same, but for false positives.

Cedric Travelletti (UniBe)

Section 4

(towards) UQ

Posterior Sampling for large-scale Inverse Problems

Posterior Sampling for large-scale Inverse Problems

Direct posterior sampling impossible (150k correlated RVs.).

- Sampling (stationary, isotropic) prior is feasible (turning bands, ...).
- Implicit Representation allows for fast conditioning.

\implies Can use *residual kriging*.

Algorithm 4 Residual Kriging

Require: Gaussian process $Z \sim Gp(\mu, k)$, observation operator G and involved points W, observed data y, design points X containing W. **Ensure:** Conditional realisation of Z_X . Draw Z'_W from $Gp(\mu, k)$ and d dimensional vector $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tau^2 I_d)$. Compute conditional mean $\tilde{\mu}_X$ with data y. Compute conditional mean $\tilde{\mu}'_X$ with data $GZ'_W + \epsilon$. **Return** $\tilde{\mu}_X + Z'_X - \tilde{\mu}'_X$.

Residual Kriging: Example

Simulate excursion set realizations, conditional on 2018 Stromboli field campaign data.



Figure: Prior excursion set realisations. Observation locations of shown in black.



Figure: Corresponding conditional realisations.

Posterior sampling can be used as building block for UQ on sets.

Cedric Travelletti (UniBe)

- UQ for excursion sets.
- New design criterions (learning transition surfaces, ...).
- Criterion Optimization (lookahed, include trajectory cost).
- Joint inversion (electromagnetic, seismic, neutrino?).

The End

https://www.itij.com/story/115685/tourists-flee-stromboli-volcano-eruption